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#### Abstract

We first discuss the relative Kolmogorov $n$-widths of classes of smooth $2 \pi$-periodic functions for which the modulus of continuity of their $r$-th derivatives does not exceed a given modulus of continuity, and then discuss the best restricted approximation of classes of smooth bounded functions defined on the real axis $\mathbb{R}$ such that the modulus of continuity of their $r$-th derivatives does not exceed a given modulus of continuity by taking the classes of the entire functions of exponential type as approximation tools. Asymptotic results are obtained for these two problems.


Keywords: modulus of continuity, best restricted approximation, average width.
Юнпин Лю, Гуйцяо Сюй, Цзе Чжан. Наилучшая аппроксимация с ограничениями для классов гладких функций.

Обсуждаются относительные $n$-поперечники по Колмогорову для классов гладких $2 \pi$-периодических функций, определяемых модулем непрерывности, а также наилучшая аппроксимация с ограничениями целыми функциями экспоненциального типа для классов гладких ограниченных функций, определенных на числовой оси $\mathbb{R}$ и таких, что модуль непрерывности их $r$-й производной не превосходит заданного модуля непрерывности. Для этих двух задач получены асимптотические результаты.

Ключевые слова: модуль непрерывности, наилучшая аппроксимация с ограничениями, средний поперечник.

MSC: 41A63, 65Y20, 68Q25
DOI: 10.21538/0134-4889-2018-24-4-283-294

## 1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$the set of all nonnegative integers, $\mathbb{N}=\mathbb{Z}_{+} \backslash\{0\}$, by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of all real numbers or the real axis, and by $\mathbb{C}$ the set of all complex numbers or the complex plane.

Let $P_{r}(\lambda)$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\lambda-\lambda_{r}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{k}:=\alpha_{k}+i \beta_{k}, \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, k=1,2, \cdots, r$. Denote by

$$
P_{r}(D):=\left(\frac{d}{d x}-\lambda_{1} I\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot\left(\frac{d}{d x}-\lambda_{r} I\right), \quad D:=\frac{d}{d x}
$$

the linear differential operator of order $r$ with respect to $P_{r}$, where $I$ is the identity operator.
For the interval $\mathbb{R}($ or $\mathbb{T}=[0,2 \pi])$ and $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, let $L_{p}=L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\left(\right.$ or $\left.\tilde{L}_{p}=\tilde{L}_{p}(\mathbb{T})\right)$ denote the Banach space of (or $2 \pi$-periodic) functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be $p$-power integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ (or on $\mathbb{T}$ ) with the usual $L_{p}-\operatorname{norm}\|f\|_{L_{p}}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_{p}}\right)$.

For a nonnegative integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, denote by $C^{r}$ (or $\tilde{C}^{r}$ ) the collection of all functions $f$ for which the $r$-order derivatives $f^{(r)}\left(f^{(0)}=f\right.$ ) are uniformly continuous (or $2 \pi$-periodic and

[^0]continuous). Denote by $W_{p}^{P_{r}}$ (or $\tilde{W}_{p}^{P_{r}}$ ) the collection of functions $f \in L_{p} \cap C^{r}$ (or $f \in \tilde{C}^{r}$ ) for which have the locally absolutely continuous derivatives up to the $(r-1)$ st order and $\left\|P_{r}(D) f\right\|_{L_{p}} \leq 1$ (or $\left\|P_{r}(D) f\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{p}} \leq 1$ ). Specially, when $P_{r}(\lambda)=\lambda^{r}$, write $W_{p}^{r}$ (or $\tilde{W}_{p}^{r}$ ) instead of $W_{p}^{P_{r}}$ (or $\tilde{W}_{p}^{P_{r}}$ ). Let $\omega$ be a modulus of continuity. Set
$$
W^{r} H^{\omega}=\left\{f \in C^{r}: f^{(r)} \in H^{\omega}\right\}, \quad \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}=\left\{f \in \tilde{C}^{r}: f^{(r)} \in H^{\omega}\right\},
$$
where $f^{(r)} \in H^{\omega}$ means
$$
\omega\left(f^{(r)}, t\right)=\sup \left\{\left|f^{(r)}(x)-f^{(r)}(y)\right|: x, y \in \mathbb{R},|x-y| \leq t\right\} \leq \omega(t), \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

When $\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha \leq 1$, we write $W^{r} H^{\alpha}$ (or $\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\alpha}$ ) instead of $W^{r} H^{\omega}$ (or $\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$ ).
Let $E_{\sigma}, \sigma \geq 0$, denote the class of entire functions of exponential type $\sigma$, that is, $f \in E_{\sigma}$ if and only if $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an entire function and for $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists A_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|f(z)| \leq A_{\epsilon} \exp ((\sigma+\epsilon)|z|), \quad z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Denote by $E_{\sigma, p}:=E_{\sigma, p}, 1 \leq p \leq+\infty$, the collection of all $f \in E_{\sigma}$ with $\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L_{p}$. Here the notation $F(\sigma) \asymp \sigma^{s}(s<0)$ means that there exist two positive real numbers $C, D>0$ independent of $\sigma$ for which $D \sigma^{s} \leq F(\sigma) \leq C \sigma^{s}$ for all sufficiently large $\sigma$ (with the analogous meaning for $n^{s} \ll F(\sigma) \ll n^{s}, F(n) \asymp n^{s}$, etc).

Let $W$ and $V$ be two nonempty subsets of a normed linear space $X$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$. Denote by

$$
E(W, V)_{X}:=\sup _{w \in W} \inf _{v \in V}\|w-v\|_{X}
$$

the deviation (or approximation) of $W$ from $V$ in the space $X$. In 1984, Konovalov [7] raised the problem to consider the relative $n$-width of $W$ related to $V$ in the space $X$ is given by

$$
d_{n}(W, V)_{X}:=\inf _{L} E(W, L \cap V)_{X},
$$

where the infimum is taken over all $n$-dimensional subspaces $L$ of $X$. When $V=X, d_{n}(W, V)_{X}=$ $d_{n}(W)_{X}$ is the usual $n$-width, in the sense of Kolmogorov, $W$ in $X$. V.N. Konovalov in [7] proved that

$$
d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}_{\infty}^{r}, \tilde{W}_{\infty}^{r}\right)_{\infty} \asymp n^{-2}, \quad r \geq 3 .
$$

V.F. Babenko in [2] showed

$$
d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}_{1}^{r}, \tilde{W}_{1}^{r}\right)_{1} \asymp n^{-2}, \quad r \geq 3
$$

V.M. Tikhomirov in [22] generalized the above result in [7] from the positive integer $r \geq 3$ to the positive real number $\alpha$ through a simpler proof.

For $1 \leq q \leq \infty, r \in \mathbb{N}$, V. N. Konovalov in [8;9] proved

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}_{\infty}^{r}, \tilde{W}_{\infty}^{r}\right)_{q} \asymp n^{-\min \{r, 2\}}, \\
& d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}_{1}^{r}, \tilde{W}_{1}^{r}\right)_{q} \asymp n^{-\min \left\{r-1+\frac{1}{q}, 2\right\}}, \quad(r, q) \neq(1, \infty) \\
& d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}_{2}^{r}, \tilde{W}_{2}^{r}\right)_{q} \asymp n^{-\min \left\{r-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q}, r\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Later, Wei Yang [25] considered the relative $n$-widths of two kinds of periodic convolution classes whose convolution kernels: NCVD-kernel and B-kernel, and obtained the similar asymptotic estimates.

Tikhomirov [23] introduced the concept of the average dimension and Magaril-Il'yaev [16] proposed the concept of the average width. More general statement was formulated by Professor Yongsheng Sun in [21]. For the special case $L_{p}, p \in[1, \infty]$, we state the definitions of the average dimension and average width as follows.

Let $L$ be a subspace of $L_{p}$ and $B_{L}:=\left\{x \in L:\|x\|_{L_{p}} \leq 1\right\}$. For any $\epsilon>0, a>0$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(\epsilon, a):= & \min \left\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}: \exists \text { linear subspace } M \subset L_{p}[-a, a]\right. \\
& \text { with } \left.\operatorname{dim}(M)=n \text {, s.t. } E\left(\left.B_{L}\right|_{[-a, a]}, M\right)_{L_{p}[-a, a]}<\epsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $N(\epsilon, a)$ is increasing in variable $a$ and decreasing in variable $\epsilon$. The number

$$
\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(L ; L_{p}\right):=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \liminf _{a \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{N(\epsilon, a)}{2 a}
$$

is said to be the average dimension of $L$ in $L_{p}$. Specially, $\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(E_{\sigma, p} ; L_{p}\right)=\frac{\sigma}{\pi}$ (see [16]).
For $\sigma>0$, the quantity

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}(W)_{L_{p}}:=\inf \left\{E(W, L)_{L_{p}}: L \text { is a subspace of } L_{p} \text { with } \overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(L ; L_{p}\right) \leq \sigma\right\}
$$

is called the average Kolmogorov $\sigma$-width of $W$ in the space $L_{p}$.
On the average width of Sobolev classes $W_{p}^{P_{r}}(\mathbb{R})$ (or Sobolev-Wiener classes $W_{p, q}^{r}(\mathbb{R})$ ) in the metric $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ (or other classes of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d>1)$ ), many exact results are obtained by Magaril-Il'yaev [17; 18], Dirong Chen [3], Yongping Liu [13], Heping Wang [20], Yanjie Jiang [6], Guiqiao Xu [24], etc.

Combining the ideas of Magaril-Il'yaev[16] and Konovalov[7], Liu and Xiao [14] introduced the problem to consider the quantity

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}(W, V)_{L_{p}}:=\inf _{L} E(W, L \cap V)_{L_{p}}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces $L$ of $L_{p}$ with $\overline{\operatorname{dim}}\left(L ; L_{p}\right) \leq \sigma$, and call it to be the relative average Kolmogorov $\sigma$-width of $W$ related to $V$ in the space $L_{p}$. Obviously, when $V=L_{p}$, $\bar{d}_{\sigma}(W, V)_{L_{p}}=\bar{d}_{\sigma}(W)_{L_{p}}$ is the average Kolmogorov $\sigma$-width of $W$ in the space $L_{p}$. In [14], Liu and Xiao gave some exact results on some classes of functions in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as follows.

For $\alpha>0$, set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|y|^{2 \alpha}|\hat{f}(y)|^{2} d y \leq 1\right\}, \\
\mathfrak{B}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{f \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}|\hat{f}(y)|^{2} d y \leq 1\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$, and $|y|$ denotes the length of a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ defined by $|y|=\sqrt{(y, y)}$, while $(x, y)$ denotes the inner product of two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Theorem 1 [14].

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=(\rho(\sigma))^{-\alpha} ; \\
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), M \Re_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\infty, \quad 0<M<1 \\
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), M \mathfrak{B}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=1-M_{0}, \quad M \geq M_{0} \\
\bar{d}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), M \Re_{2}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=1-M, \quad 0<M<M_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $M_{0}:=1-\left(1+(\rho(\sigma))^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2}, \rho(\sigma)=\sqrt{4 \pi}\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right) \sigma\right)^{1 / d} \cdot S B_{\rho(\sigma)}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the collection of the entire functions of spherical exponential type $\sigma \geq 0$, which as functions of the real vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ lie in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, is an optimal space.

On the exact order of relative average width $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}}$ and the best restricted approximation $E\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}}$ for $p=1,2, \infty$, Ling and Liu obtained the following results.

Theorem 2 [12]. Let $P_{r}$ be a polynomial in the form of (1.1) with $r \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{0}:=\inf \{\sigma>0$ : $\left.P_{r}(i \lambda) \neq 0, \forall|\lambda|>\sigma\right\}$. Then $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{2}^{P_{r}}, W_{2}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{2}}=E\left(W_{2}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{2}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{2}}=2 \pi \max |y| \geq \sigma \frac{1}{\left|P_{r}(i y)\right|}$ for all $\sigma>\sigma_{0}$.

It is worth to mention that the above theorem has been proved essentially in [14].
For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{r}(\lambda)$ be an algebraic polynomial of degree $r$ in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}(\lambda)=\lambda^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{r-s}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{j}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \leq r$ and $s \in\{0,1,2\}$, and (Non pure imaginary) $\lambda_{j} \notin i \mathbb{R}:=\{i x: x \in \mathbb{R}\}, j=1,2, \cdots, r-s$. When $r=s \in\{0,1,2\}, P_{s}(\lambda)=\lambda^{s}$.

Theorem 3 [12]. Let $P_{r}(\lambda)$ be an algebraic polynomial of degree $r$ in the above form. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp E\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp \sigma^{-r}, \quad r=1,2, \quad 1 \leq p \leq+\infty ; \\
E\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \ll \sigma^{-\min (2, r)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq+\infty, \quad r \in \mathbb{N} ; \\
E\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \gg \sigma^{-\min (2, r)}, \quad p=1,+\infty, \quad r \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark. Theorem 3 shows that $\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp \bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp \sigma^{-r}, r=1,2,1 \leq p \leq+\infty$; $E\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, E_{\sigma} \cap W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp \sigma^{-\min (2, r)}, p=1,+\infty, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

We conjecture that, under the assumption of Theorem 3, it also holds that

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W_{p}^{P_{r}}, W_{p}^{P_{r}}\right)_{L_{p}} \asymp \sigma^{-\min (2, r)} \text { for } p \in\{1, \infty\} .
$$

## 2. Our main results

Theorem 4. Let $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$ and the modulus of continuity $\omega$ be concave. Then

$$
d_{2 n-1}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \asymp E\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, T_{n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \asymp \begin{cases}n^{-2}, & r \geq 2,  \tag{2.1}\\ n^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & r=0,1 .\end{cases}
$$

Where $T_{n}$ denotes the linear manifold of trigonometric polynomials

$$
\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(a_{k} \cos k x+b_{k} \sin k x\right)
$$

of degree $n$.
Corollary 1. Let $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$. Then

$$
d_{2 n-1}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\alpha}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\alpha}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \asymp E\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\alpha}, T_{n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\alpha}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \asymp n^{-\min \{2, r+\alpha\}} .
$$

Theorem 5. Let the modulus of continuity $\omega$ be concave and $\sigma \geq 2$. Then

$$
E\left(W^{r} H^{\omega}, E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{L_{\infty}} \asymp \begin{cases}\sigma^{-2}, & r \geq 2 \\ \sigma^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right), & r=0,1 .\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $\sigma \geq 2$. Then

$$
E\left(W^{r} H^{\alpha}, E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\alpha}\right)_{L_{\infty}} \asymp \sigma^{-\min \{2, r+\alpha\}}
$$

We conjecture that, under the assumption of Theorem 5, it also holds that

$$
\bar{d}_{\sigma}\left(W^{r} H^{\omega}, W^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{L_{\infty}} \asymp \begin{cases}\sigma^{-2}, & r \geq 2 \\ \sigma^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right), & r=0,1\end{cases}
$$

## 3. Proofs of the Theorem 4 and 5

The proof of Theorem 4. The upper estimate. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\left(J_{n} f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x+t) k_{n}(t) d t
$$

Where $k_{n}(t)=L_{n^{\prime}}(t)\left(n^{\prime}=\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]\right)$, while $L_{n}(t)=\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(\frac{\sin \frac{n t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}\right)^{4}$, is the Jackson kernel. Here $\lambda_{n}$ is determined by the equality $\int_{\mathbb{T}} L_{n}(t) d t=1$. It is well-known that $J_{n}(f)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of degree $<n$.

If $f \in \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$, it is easy to see that $\left(J_{n} f\right)^{(r)} \in \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$. From Jackson theorem (see [4, Theorem 2.2 in Ch. 7]), there is some absolute constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{n} f-f\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \leq C \omega_{2}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{2}(f, t)_{\tilde{L}_{q}}=\sup _{|h| \leq t}\|f(\cdot+2 h)-2 f(\cdot+h)+f(\cdot)\|_{\tilde{L}_{q}}, 0 \leq t<+\infty
$$

It is easy to see that when $r=0,1, \omega_{2}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \ll \frac{1}{n^{r}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, when $r \geq 2, \omega_{2}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \ll \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{q}}$ and there exists some absolute constant $C_{r}$ dependent only on $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \leq C_{r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by these discussions, we obtain

$$
d_{2 n+1}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \leq E\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, T_{n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \ll \begin{cases}n^{-2}, & r \geq 2  \tag{3.3}\\ n^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & r=0,1\end{cases}
$$

Next, we prove the lower estimate. When $r=0,1$, since $d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \leq d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}}$, then (2.1) is verified by (3.3) and the following lemma.

Lemma 1 [15]. Let $1 \leq q \leq+\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{r}} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ll d_{n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $r \geq 2$, since

$$
d_{2 n-1}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \geq d_{2 n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{q}} \geq d_{2 n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{1}}(2 \pi)^{-1 / q^{\prime}}
$$

it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{2 n}\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{1}} \gg n^{-2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3.5), we need the standard functions $f_{n, r}$ and $\varphi_{n, r}$ and their properties. Let $f_{n, r}$ denote the standard function which realized many extremal properties of the functions from $\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$ for the concave modulus of continuity $\omega$ defined by the following way. Set

$$
f_{n, 0}(x)=f_{n, 0}(\omega, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \omega(2 x), & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2 n} \\ \frac{1}{2} \omega\left[2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}-x\right)\right], & \frac{\pi}{2 n} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{n}\end{cases}
$$

and $f_{n, 0}(x)=-f_{n, 0}\left(x-\frac{\pi}{n}\right), \frac{\pi}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{2 \pi}{n}$, and $f_{n, 0}(x)$ is a $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$-periodic function. In addition, $\gamma_{n, r}=\frac{\pi\left(1-(-1)^{r}\right)}{4 n}$. Further, let $f_{n, r}$ be the $r$-th $2 \pi$ periodic integral of $f_{n, 0}$ with zero mean value on the period interval $[a, a+2 \pi], a \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$
f_{n, r}(x)=\int_{\gamma_{n, r}}^{x} f_{n, r-1}(t) d t, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Another standard function $\varphi_{n, r}$ is the $r$-th $2 \pi$ periodic integral of $\varphi_{n, 0}(x)=\operatorname{sgn} \sin n x$ with zero mean value on the period interval $[a, a+2 \pi], a \in \mathbb{R}$. When $n=1$, we simply write $f_{r}, \varphi_{r}, \gamma_{r}$ in stead of $f_{1, r}, \varphi_{1, r}, \gamma_{1, r}$. Many properties of the standard functions $f_{n, r}$ and $\varphi_{n, r}$ may be found in Korniechuk's books [10;11]. To read the article with ease, we list some properties of $f_{r}$ and $\varphi_{r}$ which will be used in the next proof. First,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sgn} f_{0}(x)=\varphi_{0}(x)=\operatorname{sgn} \sin x=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{v=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin (2 v+1) x}{2 v+1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the periodic interval $\left[\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+2 \pi\right]$, the two functions $f_{r}$ and $\varphi_{r}$ have only three simple zeros $\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+\pi, \gamma_{r}+2 \pi$ and have only two extremal points $\gamma_{r}+\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\gamma_{r}+\frac{3 \pi}{2}$. Their absolute value functions $\left|f_{r}\right|$ and $\left|\varphi_{r}\right|$ are concave on the intervals $\left[\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+\pi\right]$ and $\left[\gamma_{r}+\pi, \gamma_{r}+2 \pi\right]$, respectively.

To prove Theorem 4, similar to the four steps of Tikhomirov in his paper [22], we also need several lemmas as follows.

Lemma 2 [10, Proposition 2.5.2]. Let $F \subset L_{p}[a, b](1 \leq p<\infty)$ be a convex and closed subset. Then for any $f \in L_{p}[a, b]$, and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$, we have

$$
e(f, F)_{L_{p}[a, b]}=\inf _{g \in F}\|f-g\|_{L_{p}[a, b]}=\sup _{\|g\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}(a, b] \leq 1}}\left\{\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t-\sup _{u \in F} \int_{a}^{b} u(t) g(t) d t\right\} .
$$

The following lemma belongs to Ismagilov(1968).
Lemma 3 [5; 15, Theorem 4.7 in Ch. 13]. Let $\psi \in L_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ be some fixed function with mean value zero, and its Fourier series can be expressed as follows $\psi(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k} \cos k t+b_{k} \sin k t\right)$. Denote by $k(\psi)$ be the subset of $L_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ formed by the translates of $\psi(\cdot)$, that is

$$
k(w)=\left\{T_{\tau} \psi(\cdot)\right\}_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}}, \quad T_{\tau} \psi(t)=\psi(t+\tau) .
$$

Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}, d_{2 n}\left(k(\psi), L_{2}(\mathbb{T})\right)=\left(\pi \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k}^{* 2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $c_{k}^{*}$ denote the numbers $c_{k}=\left(a_{k}^{2}+b_{k}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ arranged in non-increasing order.

The following lemma is called Neyman-Pearson lemma.
Lemma 4 [22]. Let $y(\cdot) \in C(\triangle), y(t) \geq 0$, for any $t \in \triangle=\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$,

$$
X=\left\{x(\cdot) \in L_{1}(\triangle): 0 \leq x(t) \leq A \text {, a.e., } \int_{\triangle} x(t) d t \geq B\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\triangle} x(t) y(t) d t \geq A \int_{D(A, B)} y(t) d t, \forall x(\cdot) \in X
$$

where $D(A, B)=\{t \mid 0 \leq y(t) \leq C(A, B)\}$, while the constant $C(A, B)$ is chosen so as to have $\int_{D(A, B)} d t=\frac{B}{A}$.

For a fixed arbitrary subspace $L^{2 n} \subset \tilde{L}_{1}$, using the dual theorem of the best approximation by convex set, we find that for each fixed $\tau \in[0,2 \pi]$ the function $T_{\tau} f_{r}$ there holds the following inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(k\left(f_{r}\right), L^{2 n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{1}}=\sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} e\left(T_{\tau} f_{r}, L^{2 n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{1}} \\
\geq \sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{r}(t+\tau) \operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t+\tau) d t-\sup _{h \in L^{2 n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) \operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t+\tau) d t\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{r}=(-1)^{(r+1) / 2}$ for odd $r$ and $(-1)^{r / 2}$ for even $r$. It is easy to verify that the standard functions $\varphi_{r}$ and $f_{r}$ have the following sign properties

$$
\operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t)=\operatorname{sgn} \varphi_{r}(t)=\varepsilon_{r} \operatorname{sgn} f_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)=\varepsilon_{r} \operatorname{sgn} \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)=\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)=\varepsilon_{r} \operatorname{sgn} \sin \left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) .
$$

Hence, we obtain that $(-1)^{r} \varepsilon_{r} \operatorname{sgn} \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)=\varphi_{0}(t)$. For $h \in L^{2 n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$, using the integration by parts for $r$ times, we may see that there hold following equalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{r}(t+\tau) \operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t+\tau) d t=\varepsilon_{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{r}(t) \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t  \tag{3.7}\\
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) \operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t+\tau) d t=(-1)^{r} \varepsilon_{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h^{(r)}(t-\tau) \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Set

$$
H_{\tau}(t)=\frac{1}{4}\left\{h^{(r)}(t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(-t-\tau)+h^{(r)}(\pi-t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(\pi+t-\tau)\right\} .
$$

Then it is easy to verify that the $2 \pi$-periodic function $H_{\tau}$ is odd and satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{\tau}(\pi-t)=H_{\tau}(t), \quad x \in[0, \pi] ; \quad H_{\tau}(t)=-H_{\tau}(t-\pi), \quad t \in[\pi, 2 \pi] ; \\
\left|H_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq\left|f_{0}(t)\right|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, we show the proof of the last inequality. Since the $2 \pi$-periodic and continuous function $h \in$ $\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$, then, when $0 \leq t \leq \pi / 2$, by $|(t-\tau)-(-t-\tau)|=|(\pi+t-\tau)-(\pi-t-\tau)|=2 t$, we see that there are following inequalities

$$
\left|H_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\{\left|h^{(r)}(t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(-t-\tau)\right|+\left|h^{(r)}(\pi-t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(\pi+t-\tau)\right|\right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} w(2 t)
$$

When $\pi / 2 \leq t \leq \pi$, by $|(\pi-t-\tau)-(-\pi-t-\tau)|=|(t-\tau)-(2 \pi-t-\tau)|=2 \pi-2 t$, we see that there are following inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|H_{\tau}(t)\right|=\left|H_{\tau}(\pi-t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left\{\left|h^{(r)}(\pi-t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(-\pi+t-\tau)\right|+\left|h^{(r)}(t-\tau)-h^{(r)}(2 \pi-t-\tau)\right|\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} w(2(\pi-t))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by above discussion and using the definition of $f_{0}$ and the fact that $H_{\tau}(t)=-H_{\tau}(t-\pi)$ for $t \in[\pi, 2 \pi]$, we obtain (3.9).

Hence, using the properties of the standard function $\varphi_{r}$, there is the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h^{(r)}(t-\tau) \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{\tau}(t) \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a sequence $\left\{H_{\tau, r}\right\}_{r=0}^{+\infty}$ of $2 \pi$-periodic functions as follows

$$
H_{\tau, 0}(t)=H_{\tau}(t), \quad H_{\tau, r}(t)=\int_{\gamma_{r}}^{t} H_{\tau, r-1}(t) d t, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Hence, $H_{\tau, r}\left(\gamma_{r}\right)=H_{\tau, r}\left(\gamma_{r}+\pi\right)=H_{\tau, r}\left(\gamma_{r}+2 \pi\right)=0$.
Next, we can verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{\tau, r}(t)\right| \leq\left|f_{r}(t)\right|, \quad t \in[0,2 \pi] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using proof by contradiction. When $r$ is even, suppose that there a point $x_{0} \in(0,2 \pi), x_{0} \neq \pi$, such that $\left|H_{\tau, r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\left|f_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$. Let $\lambda=\frac{f_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)}{H_{\tau, r}\left(x_{0}\right)},|\lambda|<1$, and set $\phi(t)=f_{r}(t)-\lambda H_{\tau, r}(t)$. Then, $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi(0)=\phi(\pi)=\phi(2 \pi)=0$. Using the Rolle's theorem for $r$ times, we will see that $\phi^{(r)}(t)=$ $f_{0}(t)-\lambda H_{\tau}(t)$ has at least 4 zeros on some closed periodic interval. In fact, without loss of generality, suppose that $0<x_{0}<\pi$. Using the Rolle's theorem on the $2 \pi$-periodic function $\phi$, we see that $\phi^{\prime}$ has at least 4 zeros on a closed periodic interval which may be written as $x_{j}^{(1)}, j=1,2,3,4$, satisfying

$$
0<x_{1}^{(1)}<x_{0}<x_{2}^{(1)}<\pi<x_{3}^{(1)}<2 \pi<x_{4}^{(1)}=x_{1}^{(1)}+2 \pi .
$$

By induction, $\phi^{(r)}$ has at least 4 zeros on a closed periodic interval which these zeros may be written as $x_{j}^{(r)}, j=1,2,3,4$, satisfying

$$
x_{1}^{(r)}<x_{2}^{(r)}<x_{3}^{(r)}<x_{4}^{(r)}=x_{1}^{(r)}+2 \pi .
$$

The so-called closed periodic interval may be chosen as $\left[x_{1}^{(r)}, x_{1}^{(r)}+2 \pi\right]$. However, the fact

$$
\left|\lambda H_{\tau, r}^{(r)}(t)\right|=\left|\lambda H_{\tau}(t)\right|<\left|f_{0}(t)\right|, \quad t \in(0,2 \pi), \quad t \neq \pi,
$$

shows that the function $f_{0}(t)-\lambda H_{\tau}(t)$ has only three zeros on the closed interval $[0,2 \pi]: 0, \pi, 2 \pi$. Then, $f_{0}(t)-\lambda H_{\tau}(t)$ has at most 3 zeros on the closed periodic interval $\left[x_{1}^{(r)}, x_{1}^{(r)}+2 \pi\right]$. This produces a contradiction which shows that (3.11) is true. When $r$ is odd, the proof of (3.11) is similar.

In the right side of equality (3.10), using the integration by parts for $r$ times again, we obtain the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{\tau}(t) \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t=(-1)^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} H_{\tau, r}(t) \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right) d t \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combination of (3.8) to (3.12) gives

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(t) \operatorname{sgn} f_{r}(t+\tau) d t=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{r}(t) \frac{H_{\tau, r}(t)}{\left|f_{r}(t)\right|} d t
$$

Write

$$
x_{\tau}(t)=\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)-\frac{H_{\tau, r}(t)}{\left|f_{r}(t)\right|}, y(t)=f_{r}(t), t \in[0,2 \pi] .
$$

Since the function values of $\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)$ are 1 and -1 except $t=0, \pi, 2 \pi$ on the interval $[0,2 \pi]$, and the functions $\left|\frac{H_{\tau, r}(t)}{\left|f_{r}(t)\right|}\right| \leq 1$, a.e. $t \in[0,2 \pi]$, then

$$
\operatorname{sgn} x_{\tau}(t)=\operatorname{sgn} \varphi_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right), \quad\left|x_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq 2, \text { a.e. } t \in[0,2 \pi],
$$

and hence $x_{\tau}(t) y_{\tau}(t)=\left|x_{\tau}(t)\right|\left|y_{\tau}(t)\right|$, a.e. $t \in[0,2 \pi]$.
By a similar discussion as in [8] and [9], we can verify that there is a point $\tau_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} x_{\tau_{0}}(t) y(t) d t=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{\tau_{0}}(t)\right||y(t)| d t \geq \frac{c}{n^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some absolutely positive constant $c$ dependent only on $r$. In fact, because

$$
\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{v=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{r} \sin (2 v+1)\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)}{2 v+1}, \quad t \in[0,2 \pi],
$$

and $h$ will be taken over a subset $L^{2 n} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$ of the $2 n$-dimensional subspace $L^{2 n}$ of $L_{2}(\mathbb{T})$, then the function $\frac{H_{\tau, r}(t)}{\left|f_{r}(t)\right|}$ may be taken over a subset of some subspace of $L_{2}(\mathbb{T})$ with dimension $\leq 8 n$ and hence by Lemma 3, we may obtain the following estimates

$$
\sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{\tau}(t)\right|^{2} d t \geq d_{8 n}^{2}\left(k\left(\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\right), L_{2}(\mathbb{T})\right)=\pi \sum_{k=4 n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2}}>\frac{1}{3 n \pi} .
$$

Hence, we also obtain

$$
\frac{1}{3 n \pi}<d_{8 n}^{2}\left(k\left(\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\right), L_{2}(\mathbb{T})\right) \leq 2 d_{8 n}\left(k\left(\varepsilon_{r} \varphi_{0}\right), \quad L_{1}(\mathbb{T})\right) \leq 2 \sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{\tau}(t)\right| d t
$$

Thus, by the $2 \pi$-periodicity of the function $x_{\tau}$ on the variate $\tau$, there exists a $\tau_{0} \in[0,2 \pi]$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|x_{\tau_{0}}(t)\right| d t \geq \frac{1}{6 n \pi}
$$

In Lemma 4, take $A=2, B=\frac{1}{6 n \pi}, \delta_{n}=\frac{1}{48 n \pi}, x=x_{\tau_{0}}, y=\left|f_{r}\right|$ and
$\Delta=\left[\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+2 \pi\right], \quad D(A, B)=\left[\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+\delta_{n}\right] \cup\left[\pi+\gamma_{r}-\delta_{n}, \quad \pi+\gamma_{r}-\delta_{n}\right] \cup\left[2 \pi+\gamma_{r}-\delta_{n}, 2 \pi+\gamma_{r}\right]$, by the properties of $f_{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D(A, B)} d t=4 \delta_{n}=\frac{B}{A}, \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|x(t) y(t)| d t \geq 2 \int_{D(A, B)}|y(t)| d t=8 \int_{\gamma_{r}}^{\gamma_{r}+\delta_{n}}\left|f_{r}(t)\right| d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To give the estimate of $\int_{\gamma_{r}}^{\gamma_{r}+\delta_{n}}\left|f_{r}(t)\right| d t$, we need some properties of $f_{r}$. Since the function $\left|f_{r}\right|$ is concave and increasing on the interval $\left[\gamma_{r}, \gamma_{r}+\pi / 2\right]$, which $\gamma_{r}$ is a zero of $\left|f_{r}\right|$ and $\left|f_{r}\left(\gamma_{r}+\pi / 2\right)\right|$ is the maximum value, then there exists an absolutely constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\left|f_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)\right| \geq c t, \quad t \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\int_{\gamma_{r}}^{\gamma_{r}+\delta_{n}}\left|f_{r}(t)\right| d t=\int_{0}^{\delta_{n}}\left|f_{r}\left(t+\gamma_{r}\right)\right| d t \geq \int_{0}^{\delta_{n}} c t d t=\frac{c \delta_{n}^{2}}{2} \gg \frac{1}{n^{2}} .
$$

Further, by (3.14), we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|x(t) y(t)| d t \geq 2 \int_{D(A, B)}|y(t)| d t \gg \frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

which is (3.13). This shows that (3.5) is valid. We complete the proof of Theorem 4.
In the proof of Theorem 5, we need to use the following lemma.
Lemma 5 [1, Lemma 2 in Sect. 84]. Let $f$ be a continuous function with the period $2 \pi$ and if there exists a function $\psi \in E_{\sigma}$ such that $\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)-\psi(x)| \leq \delta$. Then there is a trigonometric polynomial sum of the form $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=-n}^{n} c_{k} e^{i k x}$ with $n<\sigma$, for which the relation

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)-\phi(x)| \leq \delta
$$

is likewise fulfilled.
Remark of Lemma 5. In the proof of Lemma 5, the sequence $\left\{\psi_{N}\right\}$ of functions defined by

$$
\psi_{N}(x)=\frac{1}{2 N+1} \sum_{k=-N}^{N} \psi(x+2 k \pi)
$$

was applied. To discuss our problems, here we shortly listed the proof in Achieser's monograph [1, Sect. 84] as follows. By using the facts that in a subset $\mathfrak{M}$ of $E_{\sigma}$ if all the functions $f$ in $\mathfrak{M}$ are uniformly bounded on the real axis $\mathbb{R}$, then the functions in $\mathfrak{M}$ are equi-continuous in every bounded point set of complex plane, and hence every sequence in $\mathfrak{M}$ contains a locally uniformly convergent subsequence, we knew that some subsequence $\left\{\psi_{N_{m}}\right\}$ of the sequence $\left\{\psi_{N}\right\}$ is locally uniformly convergent.

Here the so-called a sequence of functions to be "locally uniformly convergent" means that the sequence is uniformly convergent in every bounded point set of complex plane. And the limit function $\phi$ of $\left\{\psi_{N_{m}}\right\}$ is likewise contained in $E_{\sigma}$ and obviously has the period $2 \pi$.

The above facts may be seen also from Nikol'skii's monograph [19, Theorem 3.3.6].
Further, for a function $\psi \in E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\omega}$, by the above-mentioned process and the well-known Bernstein inequality on the functions $E_{\sigma}$, we may see that $\left\{\psi_{N_{m}}^{(r)}\right\}$ locally uniformly converge to $\phi^{(r)}$ and $\psi \in \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}$.

Proof of Theorem 5. Upper estimate. For $\sigma>0$, let $J_{\sigma}$ be the Jackson kernel defined by

$$
J_{\sigma}(x)=\lambda_{\sigma}\left(\frac{\sin \frac{\sigma x}{4}}{x}\right)^{4},
$$

where $\lambda_{\sigma}$ is an absolutely constant dependent only on $\sigma$. Thus, if $f \in W^{r} H^{\omega}$, then it is easy to verify that the convolution $J_{\sigma} * f$ of $J_{\sigma}$ and $f$ is an element of $W^{r} H^{\omega}$.

Similar to the period case, we can obtain that for $f \in W^{r} H^{\omega}$ there is the following estimate

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|f(x)-J_{\sigma} * f(x)\right| \ll\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma^{-r} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right), \quad r=0,1, \\
\sigma^{-2}, \quad r \geq 2, \quad r \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lower estimate. By Lemma 5, Remark of Lemma 5, we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(W^{r} H^{\omega}, E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{L_{\infty}} \geq E\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{L_{\infty}} \\
=\sup _{f \in \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}} \inf \left\{\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)-\psi(x)|: \psi \in E_{\sigma} \cap W^{r} H^{\omega}\right\} \\
=\sup _{f \in \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}} \inf \left\{\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)-\psi(x)|: \psi \in E_{\sigma} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right\} \\
=\left(\tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}, T_{n+1} \cap \tilde{W}^{r} H^{\omega}\right)_{\tilde{L}_{\infty}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma-1 \leq n \leq \sigma$.
Sum up, by above discussion and Theorem 4, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.
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